arXiv:1010.2421v1 [gr-qc] 12 Oct 2010

The "non-Kerrness" of domains of outer communication of black holes and exteriors of stars

Thomas Bäckdahl [*]
Juan A. Valiente Kroon [†]

School of Mathematical Sciences,
Queen Mary University of London,
Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, UK.

October 13, 2010

Abstract

In this article we construct a geometric invariant for initial data sets for the vacuum Einstein field equations $(\mathcal{S}, h_{ab}, K_{ab})$, such that $\mathcal{S}$ is a 3-dimensional manifold with an asymptotically Euclidean end and an inner boundary $\partial\mathcal{S}$ with the topology of the 2-sphere. The hypersurface $\mathcal{S}$ can be though of being in the domain of outer communication of a black hole or in the exterior of a star. The geometric invariant vanishes if and only if $(\mathcal{S}, h_{ab}, K_{ab})$ is an initial data set for the Kerr spacetime. The construction makes use of the notion of Killing spinors and of an expression for a *Killing spinor candidate* which can be constructed out of concomitants of the Weyl tensor.

PACS: 04.20.Ex, 04.20.Jb, 04.70.Bw

1 Introduction

Let $(\mathcal{S}, h_{ab}, K_{ab})$ be an initial data set for the vacuum Einstein field equations such that $\mathcal{S}$ has two asymptotically Euclidean ends, but otherwise trivial topology[1]. In [1] a geometric invariant for this type of initial data sets has been constructed —see also [2] for a detailed discussion. This invariant is a non-negative number having the property that it vanishes if and only if the initial data set corresponds to data for the Kerr spacetime. Thus, the invariant measures the *non-Kerrness* of the initial data.

In view of possible applications of the non-Kerrness to the problem of the uniqueness of stationary black holes and the non-linear stability of the Kerr spacetimes a different type of initial hypersurface is of more interest: a 3-dimensional hypersurface with the topology of the complement of an open ball in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\mathcal{S} \approx (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{B}_1)$. This type of 3-manifold can be thought of as a Cauchy hypersurface in the domain of outer communication of a black hole or the exterior of a star. In the present article we discuss the construction of a geometric invariant measuring the non-Kerrness of this type of initial hypersurface.

---

[*] E-mail address: `t.backdahl@qmul.ac.uk`

[†] E-mail address: `j.a.valiente-kroon@qmul.ac.uk`

[1] More precisely, $\mathcal{S} \approx (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{B}_1)\#(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{B}_1)$ where $\mathcal{B}_1$ denotes an open ball of radius 1 and $\#$ indicates that the boundaries of the two copies of $(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{B}_1)$ are identified in the trivial way.

Outline of the article

In section 2 we provide a brief summary of the theory of non-Kerrness invariants developed in [1, 2]. This is provided for quick reference and contains the essential ingredients required in the construction of the present article. Section 3 contains a discussion of properties of vacuum Petrov type D spacetimes which are relevant for our discussion. In particular, it provides a formula of a Killing spinor candidate written entirely in terms of concomitants of the Weyl tensor. For a spacetime that is exactly of Petrov type D, this expression provides a Killing spinor of the spacetime. This expression is used in the sequel to provide the boundary value of an elliptic problem. Section 4 provides a discussion of a boundary value problem for the approximate Killing spinor equation. Section 5 makes use of the solution to the boundary value problem to construct the non-Kerrness invariant. Finally, in section 6 we provide some conclusions and outlook. The article also includes two appendices. The first one provides a summary of the results on boundary value problems for elliptic systems used in our construction. The second appendix contains an improved theorem characterising the Kerr spacetime in terms of Killing spinors. This theorem removes some technical assumptions made in [1, 2].

Notation

All throughout, $(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu\nu})$ will denote an orientable and time orientable, globally hyperbolic vacuum spacetime. It follows that the spacetime admits a spin structure [6, 7]. In what follows, μ, $\nu, \ldots$ will denote abstract 4-dimensional tensor indices. The metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ will be taken to have signature $(+,-,-,-)$. Let ∇_μ denote the Levi-Civita connection of $g_{\mu\nu}$. The triple $(\mathcal{S}, h_{ab}, K_{ab})$ will denote initial data on a hypersurface of the spacetime $(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu\nu})$. The symmetric tensors h_{ab}, K_{ab} will correspond, respectively, to the 3-metric and the extrinsic curvature of the 3-manifold $\mathcal{S}$. The metric h_{ab} will be taken to be negative definite. The indices a, $b, \ldots$ will denote abstract 3-dimensional tensor indices, while i, $j, \ldots$ will denote 3-dimensional tensor coordinate indices. Let D_a denote the Levi-Civita covariant derivative of h_{ab}. Spinors will be used systematically. We follow the conventions of [15]. In particular, A, $B, \ldots$ will denote abstract spinorial indices, while $\mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{B}, \ldots$ will be indices with respect to a specific frame. Let $\nabla_{AA'}$ denote the spinorial counterpart of the spacetime connection ∇_μ. Besides the connection $\nabla_{AA'}$, two other spinorial connections will be used: D_{AB}, the spinorial counterpart of the Levi-Civita covariant derivative D_a and ∇_{AB}, spinorial version of the Sen covariant derivative of $(\mathcal{S}, h_{ab}, K_{ab})$.

2 Killing spinors and non-Kerrness

In this section we provide a brief account of the theory of non-Kerrness developed in [1, 2].

2.1 Killing spinors and Killing spinor initial data

The starting point of the construction in [1, 2] is the space-spinor decomposition of the Killing spinor equation

$$\nabla_{A'(A}\kappa_{BC)} = 0, \tag{1}$$

where $\kappa_{AB} = \kappa_{(AB)}$ and the spinorial conventions of [15] are being used.

Important for our purposes is the idea of how to encode that the development of an initial data set $(\mathcal{S}, h_{ab}, K_{ab})$ admits a solution to the Killing spinor equation (1). This question can be addressed by means of a space-spinor formalism —see e.g. [18], and moreover, [2] for a detailed account of the conventions being used.

The space-spinor decomposition of equation (1) renders a set of 3 conditions intrinsic to the hypersurface $\mathcal{S}$:

$$\xi_{ABCD} = 0, \tag{2a}$$

$$\Psi_{(ABC}{}^{F}\kappa_{D)F} = 0, \tag{2b}$$

$$3\kappa_{(A}{}^{E}\nabla_{B}{}^{F}\Psi_{CD)EF} + \Psi_{(ABC}{}^{F}\xi_{D)F} = 0, \tag{2c}$$

where we have written

$$\xi_{ABCD} \equiv \nabla_{(AB}\kappa_{CD)}, \quad \xi_{AB} \equiv \frac{3}{2}\nabla_{(A}{}^{D}\kappa_{B)D}, \quad \xi \equiv \nabla^{PQ}\kappa_{PQ},$$

and ∇_{AB} denotes the spinorial version of the Sen connection associated to the pair (h_{ab}, K_{ab}) of intrinsic metric and extrinsic curvature. It can be expressed in terms of the spinorial counterpart, D_{AB} of the Levi-Civita connection of the 3-metric h_{ab}, and the spinorial version, $K_{ABCD} = K_{(AB)(CD)} = K_{CDAB}$, of the second fundamental form K_{ab}. For example, given a valence 1 spinor π_A one has that

$$\nabla_{AB}\pi_C = D_{AB}\pi_C + \tfrac{1}{2}K_{ABC}{}^{Q}\pi_Q,$$

with the obvious generalisations to higher valence spinors. In equations (2b)-(2c), the spinor Ψ_{ABCD} denotes the restriction to the hypersurface $\mathcal{S}$ of the self-dual Weyl spinor. Crucially, the spinor Ψ_{ABCD} can be written entirely in terms of initial data quantities via the relations:

$$\Psi_{ABCD} = E_{ABCD} + \mathrm{i}B_{ABCD},$$

with

$$E_{ABCD} = -r_{(ABCD)} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Omega_{(AB}{}^{PQ}\Omega_{CD)PQ} - \tfrac{1}{6}\Omega_{ABCD}K,$$
$$B_{ABCD} = -\mathrm{i}\; D^{Q}{}_{(A}\Omega_{BCD)Q},$$

and where $\Omega_{ABCD} \equiv K_{(ABCD)}$, $K \equiv K_{PQ}{}^{PQ}$. Furthermore, the spinor r_{ABCD} is the Ricci tensor, r_{ab}, of the 3-metric h_{ab}.

The key property of the equations (2a)-(2c) is contained in the following result proven in [2] —see also [5].

Proposition 1. *Let equations* (2a)-(2c) *be satisfied for a symmetric spinor* $\breve{\kappa}_{AB}$ *on an open set* $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{S}$*, then the Killing spinor equation* (1) *has a solution,* κ_{AB}*, on the future domain of dependence* $\mathcal{D}^{+}(\mathcal{U})$*.*

2.2 Approximate Killing spinors

The *spatial Killing spinor equation* (2a) can be regarded as a (complex) generalisation of the conformal Killing vector equation. It will play a special role in our considerations. As in the case of the conformal Killing equation, equation (2a) is clearly overdetermined. However, one can construct a generalisation of the equation which under suitable circumstances can always be expected to have a solution. One can do this by composing the operator in (2a) with its formal adjoint —see [1]. This procedure renders the equation

$$\mathbf{L}\kappa_{CD} \equiv \nabla^{AB}\nabla_{(AB}\kappa_{CD)} - \Omega^{ABF}{}_{(A}\nabla_{|DF|}\kappa_{B)C} - \Omega^{ABF}{}_{(A}\nabla_{B)F}\kappa_{CD} = 0, \tag{3}$$

which will be called the *approximate Killing spinor equation.* One has the following result proved in [2]:

Lemma 1. *The operator* $\mathbf{L}$ *defined by the left hand side of equation* (3) *is a formally self-adjoint elliptic operator.*

In [1, 2] it has been shown that if $\mathcal{S}$ has the same topology as Cauchy slices of the Kerr spacetime, and if the pair (h_{ab}, K_{ab}) is suitably asymptotically Euclidean, then there exists a certain asymptotic behaviour at infinity for the spinor κ_{AB} for which the approximate Killing spinor equation always admits a solution.

If one wants to extend the construction discussed in the previous paragraphs to a 3-manifold on, say, the domain of outer communication of a black hole or the exterior of a star so that $\mathcal{S} \approx (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{B}_1)$, then in addition to prescribing the asymptotic behaviour of the spinor κ_{AB} at infinity, one also has to prescribe the behaviour at the inner boundary $\partial\mathcal{S}$. One wants to prescribe this information in such a way that κ_{AB} has the *right* Killing behaviour at the boundary whenever all of the Killing spinor data equations (2a)-(2c) are satisfied. In this article we discuss how this can be done, and as a result we construct the non-Kerrness for 3-manifolds with topology $(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\mathcal{B}_1)$. These 3-manifolds can be interpreted as slices in the domain of outer communication of a black hole or slices in the exterior of a star. It is expected that this construction will be of use in the reformulation of problems involving the Kerr spacetime: the uniqueness of stationary black holes, the construction of an interior for the Kerr solution, and possibly also the evolution of non-linear perturbations of the Kerr spacetime.

3 Petrov type D spacetimes

In order to analyse what is the right initial data to be prescribed on the boundary $\partial\mathcal{S}$ of our initial 3-manifold $\mathcal{S}$, we will look at some properties of vacuum spacetimes of Petrov type D.

3.1 The canonical form for type D

Let Ψ_{ABCD} denote the Weyl spinor of a vacuum spacetime $(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu\nu})$. We shall consider the following invariants of Ψ_{ABCD}:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I} &\equiv \tfrac{1}{2}\Psi_{ABCD}\Psi^{ABCD}, \\
\mathcal{J} &\equiv \tfrac{1}{6}\Psi_{ABCD}\Psi^{CDEF}\Psi_{EF}{}^{AB}.
\end{aligned}$$

The Petrov type of the spacetime is determined as a solution of the eigenvalue problem

$$\Psi_{ABCD}\eta^{CD} = \lambda\eta_{AB}$$

—see e.g. [19]. The eigenvalues λ satisfy the equation

$$\lambda^3 - \mathcal{I}\lambda - 2\mathcal{J} = 0. \tag{4}$$

Let λ_1, λ_2, λ_3 denote the roots of the above polynomial. The invariants $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ can be expressed in terms of the eigenvalues by

$$\mathcal{I} = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2\right), \tag{5a}$$

$$\mathcal{J} = \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3. \tag{5b}$$

In what follows we assume $\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2,\ \lambda_3 \neq 0$. The Petrov type D is characterised by the condition $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$. Using expressions (5a)-(5b), one has that the remaining root satisfies the equation

$$\lambda_3^3 - 2\mathcal{I}\lambda_3 + 4\mathcal{J} = 0. \tag{6}$$

Combining equations (4) and (6) one finds that

$$\lambda_3 = 6\mathcal{J}\mathcal{I}^{-1}.$$

For a Petrov type D spacetime there exist spinors (the principal spinors) α_A, β_A satisfying the normalisation $\alpha_A\beta^A = 1$ such that

$$\Psi_{ABCD} = \psi\alpha_{(A}\alpha_B\beta_C\beta_{D)}, \quad \psi = -\tfrac{1}{2}\lambda_3 = -3\mathcal{J}\mathcal{I}^{-1}. \tag{7}$$

It will be convenient to define the spinor $\upsilon_{AB} \equiv \alpha_{(A}\beta_{B)}$. Observe that because of our normalisation conditions one has that $\upsilon_{AB}\upsilon^{AB} = -\frac{1}{2}$. Using the spinor υ_{AB} one obtains the following alternative expression for Ψ_{ABCD}:

$$\Psi_{ABCD} = \psi\left(\upsilon_{AB}\upsilon_{CD} + \tfrac{1}{6}h_{ABCD}\right), \quad h_{ABCD} \equiv -\epsilon_{A(C}\epsilon_{D)B}. \tag{8}$$

The expression (8) can be used to obtain a formula for the spinor υ_{AB} in terms of the Weyl spinor Ψ_{ABCD}. Let ζ_{AB} denote a non-vanishing symmetric spinor. Contracting (8) with an arbitrary spinor ζ_{AB} one obtains:

$$\Psi_{ABCD}\zeta^{CD} = \psi\left(\upsilon_{AB}\upsilon_{PQ}\zeta^{PQ} + \tfrac{1}{6}\zeta_{AB}\right), \tag{9a}$$

$$\Psi_{ABCD}\zeta^{AB}\zeta^{CD} = \psi\left((\upsilon_{PQ}\zeta^{PQ})^2 + \tfrac{1}{6}\zeta_{PQ}\zeta^{PQ}\right). \tag{9b}$$

Using equation (9a) to solve for υ_{AB} and equation (9b) to solve for $\upsilon_{PQ}\zeta^{PQ}$ one obtains the following formula for υ_{AB} in terms of Ψ_{ABCD} and the arbitrary spinor ζ_{AB}:

$$\upsilon_{AB} = \Xi^{-1/2}\left(\psi^{-1}\Psi_{ABPQ}\zeta^{PQ} - \tfrac{1}{6}\zeta_{AB}\right), \tag{10}$$

with

$$\Xi \equiv \psi^{-1}\Psi_{PQRS}\zeta^{PQ}\zeta^{RS} - \tfrac{1}{6}\zeta_{PQ}\zeta^{PQ}. \tag{11}$$

In the last formulae it is assumed that ζ_{AB} is chosen such that

$$\Xi \neq 0.$$

3.2 The Killing spinor of a Petrov type D spacetime

Let κ_{AB} be a solution to the Killing spinor equation (1). An important property of a Killing spinor is that

$$\xi_{AA'} \equiv \nabla^{Q}{}_{A'}\kappa_{AQ}, \tag{12}$$

satisfies the (spinorial version of the) Killing vector equation

$$\nabla_{AA'}\xi_{BB'} + \nabla_{BB'}\xi_{AA'} = 0.$$

In general, the Killing vector $\xi_{AA'}$ given by formula (12) is complex —that is, it encodes the information of 2 real Killing vectors. This property is closely related to the fact that all vacuum type D spacetimes admit, at least, a pair of commuting Killing vectors —see e.g. [10]. Vacuum spacetimes of Petrov type D for which $\xi_{AA'}$ is real are called *generalised Kerr-NUT spacetimes.*

Every vacuum spacetime of Petrov type D has a Killing spinor —see [16] and references therein. Indeed, in the notation of the previous section, one has that

$$\kappa_{AB} = \psi^{-1/3}\upsilon_{AB}, \tag{13}$$

satisfies equation (1). Using formula (10), one obtains the following result:

Proposition 2. *Let* $(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu\nu})$ *be a vacuum spacetime. If on* $\mathcal{U}\subset\mathcal{M}$*, the spacetime is of Petrov type D and* ζ_{AB} *is a symmetric spinor satisfying*

$$\zeta_{AB} \neq 0, \quad \psi^{-1}\Psi_{PQRS}\zeta^{PQ}\zeta^{RS} - \tfrac{1}{6}\zeta_{PQ}\zeta^{PQ} \neq 0 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{U},$$

then

$$\kappa_{AB} = \psi^{-1/3}\Xi^{-1/2}\left(\psi^{-1}\Psi_{ABPQ}\zeta^{PQ} - \tfrac{1}{6}\zeta_{AB}\right) \tag{14}$$

with Ξ *given by* (11) *is a Killing spinor on* $\mathcal{U}$*. The formula* (14) *is independent of the choice of* ζ_{AB}*.*

That expression (13) is independent of the choice of ζ_{AB} can be verified by writing

$$\zeta_{AB} = \zeta_0\alpha_A\alpha_B + \zeta_1\alpha_{(A}\beta_{B)} + \zeta_2\beta_A\beta_B,$$

where $\{\alpha_A, \beta_A\}$ is the dyad given by equation (7). Substituting the latter into (14) one readily obtains (13).

Observation. Formula (14) can be evaluated for any vacuum spacetime $(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu\nu})$. In general, of course, it will not give a solution to the Killing spinor equation (1). The resulting spinor κ_{AB} will depend upon the choice of ζ_{AB}. We make the following definition:

Definition 1. *Let* $(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu\nu})$ *be a vacuum spacetime. Consider* $\mathcal{U}\subset\mathcal{M}$ *and on* $\mathcal{U}$ *a symmetric spinor* ζ_{AB} *satisfying*

$$\zeta_{AB} \neq 0, \quad \psi^{-1}\Psi_{PQRS}\zeta^{PQ}\zeta^{RS} - \tfrac{1}{6}\zeta_{PQ}\zeta^{PQ} \neq 0 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{U}.$$

The symmetric spinor given by

$$\breve{\kappa}_{AB} = \psi^{-1/3}\Xi^{-1/2}\left(\psi^{-1}\Psi_{ABPQ}\zeta^{PQ} - \tfrac{1}{6}\zeta_{AB}\right), \tag{15}$$

with

$$\Xi \equiv \psi^{-1}\Psi_{PQRS}\zeta^{PQ}\zeta^{RS} - \tfrac{1}{6}\zeta_{PQ}\zeta^{PQ},$$

will be called the ζ_{AB}*-Killing spinor candidate on* $\mathcal{U}$*.*

Remark 1. Although the choice of ζ_{AB} is essentially arbitrary, as it will be seen, in many applications there is a natural choice.

Remark 2. The choice of branch cut for the square root of Ξ can be chosen to be $\{-re^{i\theta} : r > 0\}$ where θ is the argument of $\psi^{-1}\Psi_{PQRS}\zeta^{PQ}\zeta^{RS} - \frac{1}{6}\zeta_{PQ}\zeta^{PQ}$.

4 A boundary value problem for the approximate Killing spinor equation

In this section we formulate a boundary value problem for the approximate Killing spinor equation (3) on a 3-manifold $\mathcal{S} \approx \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{B}_1$. As discussed in the introduction, this type of 3-manifold can be thought of as a Cauchy hypersurface in the domain of outer communication of a black hole or the exterior of a star. For simplicity of the presentation, it will be assumed that the initial data $(\mathcal{S}, h_{ab}, K_{ab})$ satisfies in its asymptotic region the behaviour:

$$h_{ij} = -\left(1 + \frac{2m}{r}\right)\delta_{ij} + o_\infty(r^{-3/2}), \tag{16a}$$

$$K_{ij} = o_\infty(r^{-5/2}), \tag{16b}$$

with $r = ((x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2)^{1/2}$, and (x^1, x^2, x^3) are asymptotically Cartesian coordinates. Our present discussion could be extended at the expense of more technical details to include the case of boosted initial data sets —see e.g. [2]. Here, and in what follows, the fall off conditions of the various fields will be expressed in terms of weighted Sobolev spaces H^s_β, where s is a non-negative integer and β is a real number. Here we use the conventions for these spaces given in [3] —see also [2]. We say that $\eta \in H^\infty_\beta$ if $\eta \in H^s_\beta$ for all s. Thus, the functions in H^∞_β are smooth over $\mathcal{S}$ and have a fall off at infinity such that $\partial^l\eta = o(r^{\beta-|l|})$. We will often write $\eta = o_\infty(r^\beta)$ for $\eta \in H^\infty_\beta$ at the asymptotic end.

Following the ideas of [1, 2], we shall look for solutions to the approximate Killing spinor equation (3) which expressed in terms of an asymptotically Cartesian frame and coordinates have an asymptotic behaviour given by

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{AB}} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\left(1 + \frac{2m}{r}\right)x_{\mathbf{AB}} + o_\infty(r^{-1/2}), \tag{17}$$

with

$$x_{\mathbf{AB}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} -x^1 + \mathrm{i}x^2 & x^3 \\ x^3 & x^1 + \mathrm{i}x^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

4.1 Behaviour at the inner boundary

The ideas of Section 3 will be used to prescribe the value of the spinor κ_{AB} on the boundary $\partial\mathcal{S}$. The configuration under consideration offers a natural choice of spinor ζ_{AB} to evaluate the Killing spinor candidate formula given in definition 1 —namely, the spinorial counterpart, n_{AB}, of the normal, n_a, to the hypersurface $\partial\mathcal{S}$. By convention n_a is assumed to point outside $\mathcal{S}$ (outward pointing). Note that because of the use of a negative definite 3-metric one has that $n_{PQ}n^{PQ} = -1$.

It will be convenient to define the following set:

$$\mathcal{Q} \equiv \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z = \Xi(p), \ \ p \in \partial\mathcal{S}\},$$

with

$$\Xi = \psi^{-1}\Psi_{PQRS}n^{PQ}n^{RS} - \tfrac{1}{6}n_{PQ}n^{PQ}.$$

We shall make the following technical assumption on the initial data set $(\mathcal{S}, h_{ab}, K_{ab})$:

Assumption 1. *The initial data set* $(\mathcal{S}, h_{ab}, K_{ab})$ *is such that* Ξ *is a smooth function over* $\partial\mathcal{S}$ *satisfying*

(i) $0 \notin \mathcal{Q}$;

(ii) $\mathcal{Q}$ *does not encircle the the point* $z = 0$.

As a consequence of Assumption 1 one can choose a cut of the square root function on the complex plane such that $\Xi^{-1/2}(p)$ is smooth for all $p \in \partial\mathcal{S}$.

Remark 1. The conditions in Assumption 1 are satisfied by standard Kerr data (in Boyer Lindquist coordinates) at the horizon. Furthermore, by construction, the data $(\mathcal{S}, h_{ab}, K_{ab})$ is data for the Kerr spacetime, then the boundary data given by Killing Spinor candidate formula given by definition 1 gives the right boundary behaviour for the restriction of its Killing spinor to $\mathcal{S}$.

Remark 2. In order to match the asymptotic behaviour of the n_{AB}-Killing spinor candidate given by definition 1 with that given by equation (17) we add a normalisation factor to equation (15) to obtain

$$\breve{\kappa}'_{AB} = -\left(\frac{2^{5/6}m^{1/3}}{3^{1/6}}\right)\psi^{-1/3}\Xi^{-1/2}\left(\psi^{-1}\Psi_{ABPQ}n^{PQ} - \tfrac{1}{6}n_{AB}\right), \tag{18}$$

where m denotes the ADM mass of the asymptotic end. A direct computation using the asymptotic expansions

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{ABCD}} = \frac{3mx_{(\mathbf{AB}}x_{\mathbf{CD})}}{r^5} + o_\infty(r^{-7/2}), \quad \psi = \frac{6m}{r^3} + o_\infty(r^{-7/2}),$$

justifies the extra normalisation. In these last expressions $x_{\mathbf{AB}}$ points in the direction of the asymptotic end.

4.2 Existence of solutions to the approximate Killing spinor equation

Following the strategy put forward in [1, 2], we provide an Ansatz for a solution to the approximate Killing spinor equation (3) which encodes the desired behaviour at infinity. To this end, let

$$\mathring{\kappa}_{\mathbf{AB}} \equiv -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\left(1 + \frac{2m}{r}\right)x_{\mathbf{AB}}\,\phi_R(r), \tag{19}$$

where ϕ_R is a smooth cut-off function such that for $R > 0$ large enough

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_R(r) &= 1, \quad r \gg R,\\ \phi_R(r) &= 0, \quad r < R.\end{aligned}$$

One then has the following result:

Theorem 1. *Let* $(\mathcal{S}, h_{ab}, K_{ab})$ *be an initial data set for the Einstein vacuum field equations such that* $\mathcal{S}$ *is a manifold with a smooth boundary* $\partial\mathcal{S} \approx \mathbb{S}^2$ *satisfying Assumption 1. Assume that* (h_{ab}, K_{ab}) *satisfy the asymptotic conditions* (16a)-(16b) *with* $m \neq 0$. *Then, there exists a unique smooth solution,* κ_{AB}, *to the approximate Killing equation* (3) *with behaviour at the asymptotic end of the form* (17) *and with boundary value at* $\partial\mathcal{S}$ *given by the* n_{AB}*-Killing spinor candidate* $\breve{\kappa}'_{AB}$ *of equation* (18).

Proof. Following the procedure described in [1, 2], we consider the Ansatz

$$\kappa_{AB} = \mathring{\kappa}_{AB} + \theta_{AB}, \quad \theta \in H^2_{-1/2}. \tag{20}$$

Substitution into equation (3) renders the following equation for the spinor θ_{AB}:

$$\mathbf{L}\theta_{CD} = -\mathbf{L}\mathring{\kappa}_{CD}. \tag{21}$$

In view that $\mathring{\kappa}_{AB}$ vanishes outside the asymptotic region, then the value of θ_{AB} at $\partial\mathcal{S}$ coincides with that of κ_{AB}. That is, we set

$$\theta_{AB}|_{\partial\mathcal{S}} = \breve{\kappa}'_{AB}. \tag{22}$$

By construction it follows that

$$\nabla_{(AB}\mathring{\kappa}_{CD)} \in H^{\infty}_{-3/2},$$

so that

$$F_{CD} \equiv -\mathbf{L}\mathring{\kappa}_{CD} \in H^{\infty}_{-5/2}.$$

The operator associated to the Dirichlet elliptic boundary value problem (21)-(22) is given by $(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{B})$ where $\mathbf{B}$ denotes the Dirichlet boundary operator on $\partial\mathcal{S}$. As discussed in [1, 2], under assumptions (16a)-(16b) the operator $\mathbf{L}$ is asymptotically homogeneous —see Appendix A for a concise summary of the ideas and results of the theory elliptic systems being used here. Now, elliptic boundary value problems with Dirichlet boundary conditions satisfy the Lopatinski-Shapiro compatibility conditions —see [20]. Consequently, the operator $(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{B})$ is L-elliptic and the map

$$(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{B}) : H^2_{-1/2}(\mathcal{S}) \to H^0_{-5/2}(\mathcal{S}) \times H^{3/2}(\partial\mathcal{S})$$

is Fredholm —see theorem 3 of Appendix A. The rest of the proof is an application of the Fredholm alternative. Using Theorem 3 with $\delta = -1/2$, one concludes that equation (21) has a unique solution if F_{AB} is orthogonal to all $\nu_{AB} \in H^0_{-1/2}$ in the Kernel of $\mathbf{L}^* = \mathbf{L}$ with $\nu_{AB} = 0$ on $\partial\mathcal{S}$. If $\mathbf{L}\nu_{AB} = 0$, then an integration by parts shows that

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} \nabla^{(AB}\nu^{CD)}\widehat{\nabla_{AB}\nu_{CD}}\mathrm{d}\mu = \int_{\partial\mathcal{S}} n^{AB}\nu^{CD}\widehat{\nabla_{(AB}\nu_{CD)}}\mathrm{d}S + \int_{\partial\mathcal{S}_\infty} n^{AB}\nu^{CD}\widehat{\nabla_{(AB}\nu_{CD)}}\mathrm{d}S,$$

where $\partial\mathcal{S}_\infty$ denotes the sphere at infinity. The boundary integral over $\partial\mathcal{S}$ vanishes because of $\nu_{AB} \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{B})$, so that $\nu_{AB} = 0$ on $\partial\mathcal{S}$. As $\nu_{AB} \in H^2_{-1/2}$ by assumption, it follows that $\nabla_{(AB}\nu_{CD)} \in H^{\infty}_{-3/2}$ and furthermore that $n^{AB}\nu^{CD}\widehat{\nabla_{(AB}\nu_{CD)}} = o(r^{-2})$. An integral over a finite sphere will then be of type $o(1)$. Thus, the integral over ∂S_∞ vanishes. Hence one concludes that

$$\nabla_{(AB}\nu_{CD)} = 0, \quad \text{on } \mathcal{S}.$$

Using the same methods as in [2], Proposition 21 one finds that there are no non-trivial solutions to the spatial Killing spinor equation that go to zero at infinity. Thus, there are no restrictions on F_{AB} and equation (21) has a unique solution as desired. Due to elliptic regularity, any $H^2_{-1/2}$ solution to equation (21) is in fact a $H^{\infty}_{-1/2}$ solution —cfr. Lemma 3. Thus, θ_{AB} is smooth. □

Remark. It is worth mentioning that similar methods can be used to obtain solutions to the approximate Killing spinor equation equation on annular domains of the form $\mathcal{A} \equiv \overline{\mathcal{B}_{R_2} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{R_1}}$, where $R_2 > R_1$. Again, one would use the Killing spinor candidate of definition 1 to provide boundary value data on the two components of $\partial\mathcal{A}$. This type of construction is of potential relevance in the non-linear stability of the Kerr spacetime and in the numerical evaluation of the non-Kerrness.

5 The geometric invariant

In this section we show how the approximate Killing spinor κ_{AB} obtained from Theorem 1 can be used to construct an invariant measuring the non-Kerrness of the 3-manifold with boundary $\mathcal{S}$. To this end, we recall the following lemma from [1]:

Lemma 2. *The approximate Killing spinor equation* (3)*is the Euler-Lagrange equation of the functional*

$$J \equiv \int_{\mathcal{S}} \nabla_{(AB}\kappa_{CD)}\widehat{\nabla^{AB}\kappa^{CD}}\,d\mu. \tag{23}$$

In what follows, it will be assumed that κ_{AB} is the solution to equation (3) given by Theorem 1. Furthermore, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\equiv \int_{\mathcal{S}} \Psi_{(ABC}{}^{F}\kappa_{D)F}\hat{\Psi}^{ABCG}\hat{\kappa}^{D}{}_{G}\mathrm{d}\mu, \qquad (24a)\\
I_2 &\equiv \int_{\mathcal{S}} \big(3\kappa_{(A}{}^{E}\nabla_{B}{}^{F}\Psi_{CD)EF} + \Psi_{(ABC}{}^{F}\xi_{D)F}\big)\\
&\qquad\qquad \times\Big(3\hat{\kappa}^{AP}\widehat{\nabla^{BQ}\Psi^{CD}{}_{PQ}} + \hat{\Psi}^{ABCP}\hat{\xi}^{D}{}_{P}\Big)\,\mathrm{d}\mu. \qquad (24b)
\end{aligned}
$$

The geometric invariant is then defined by

$$
I \equiv J + I_1 + I_2. \qquad (25)
$$

Remark. It can be verified that I is coordinate independent. Furthermore, if the initial data set satisfies the decay conditions (16a)-(16b), then I is finite.

The desired characterisation of Kerr data on 3-manifolds $\mathcal{S}$ with boundary and one asymptotic end is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let* $(\mathcal{S}, h_{ab}, K_{ab})$ *be an initial data set for the Einstein vacuum field equations such that* $\mathcal{S}$ *is a manifold with boundary* $\partial\mathcal{S} \approx \mathbb{S}^2$ *satisfying Assumption 1. Furthermore, assume that* $\mathcal{S}$ *has only one asymptotic end, that the asymptotic conditions* (16a)-(16b) *are satisfied with* $m \neq 0$*. Let* I *be the invariant defined by equations* (23), (24a), (24b) *and* (25), *where* κ_{AB} *is given as the only solution to equation* (3) *with asymptotic behaviour given by* (19) *and with boundary value at* $\partial\mathcal{S}$ *given by the* n_{AB}*-Killings spinor candidate* $\breve{\kappa}'_{AB}$ *of equation* (18) *where* n_{AB} *is the outward pointing normal to* $\partial\mathcal{S}$*. The invariant* I *vanishes if and only if* $(\mathcal{S}, h_{ab}, K_{ab})$ *is an initial data set for the Kerr spacetime.*

The proof of this result is analogous to the one given in [1, 2] and will be omitted.

Remark. In the previous theorem, for an initial data set for the Kerr spacetime it will be understood that $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S})$ (the union of the past and future domains of dependence of $\mathcal{S}$) is isometric to a portion of the Kerr spacetime. In order to make stronger assertions about $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S})$, one needs to provide more information about $\partial\mathcal{S}$. For example, if it can be asserted that $\partial\mathcal{S}$ coincides with the intersection of the past and future components of a non-expanding horizon, then as a consequence of Theorem 2 will give that $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S})$ is the domain of outer communication of the Kerr spacetime.

6 Conclusions and outlook

Theorem 2 and the methods developed in the present articles are expected to be of relevance in several outstanding problems concerning the Kerr spacetime: a proof of the uniqueness of stationary black holes which does not assume analyticity of the horizon, and whether the Kerr solution can describe the exterior of a rotating star. The boundary value problem discussed in the present article will also play a role in applications of Killing spinor methods to the non-linear stability of the Kerr spacetime and in the evaluation of the non-Kerrness in slices of numerically computed black hole spacetimes.

For the problem of the uniqueness of stationary black holes, as mentioned in the remark after Theorem 2, one would like to consider slices in the domain of outer communication of a stationary black hole that intersect the intersection of the two components of the non-expanding horizon. One then would have to analyse the consequences that the existence of this type of boundary has on the Killing spinor candidate constructed out of the normal to $\partial\mathcal{S}$ —the Weyl tensor is known to be of type D on non-expanding horizons [8]. The main challenge in this approach is to find a convenient way of relating the *a priori* assumption about stationarity made in the problem of uniqueness of black holes with the *Killing vector initial data candidates* ξ, ξ_{AB} provided by the solution, κ_{AB}, to the approximate Killing spinor equation (3).

With regards to the problem of the existence of an interior solution for the Kerr spacetime, the key question to be analysed is what kind of conditions on the boundary $\partial\mathcal{S}$ need to be prescribed to ensure that the solution to the approximate Killing spinor equation (3) given by (1) renders a vanishing invariant I. It is to be expected that these conditions will impose strong restrictions to the type of matter models describing an hypothetical interior solution.

The issues touched upon in the previous paragraphs will be discussed in future works.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Gastón Ávila for helpful conversations on the boundary value problem for elliptic systems. TB is funded by a scholarship of the Wenner-Gren foundations. JAVK was funded by an EPSRC Advanced Research fellowship. The authors thank the hospitality and financial support of the International Centre of Mathematical Sciences (ICMS) and the Centre for Analysis and Partial Differential Equations (CANPDE) of the University of Edinburgh for their hospitality during the workshop on Mathematical Relativity September 1st-8th, 2010, in the course of which this research was completed.

A Elliptic results for slices in the domain of outer communication of a black hole

In this appendix we summarise the results on the theory of boundary value problems for elliptic systems that have been used in the present article. The presentation is adapted from [12].

As in the main text, let $\mathcal{S}$ denote a 3-dimensional manifold with the topology of $\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{B}_1$, where $\mathcal{B}_1$ denotes the open ball of radius 1. Note that $\mathcal{S}$ is closed. Assume $\partial\mathcal{S}\approx\mathbb{S}^2$ to be C^∞. In what follows, let u denote a N-dimensional vector valued function over $\mathcal{S}$. Following [4, 13], a second order elliptic operator $\mathbf{L}$ acting on u will be said to be asymptotically homogeneous if it can be written in the form

$$\mathbf{L}u(x) = (a^{ij}_\infty + a^{ij}(x))D_iD_ju(x) + a^i(x)D_iu(x) + a(x)u(x), \quad x\in\mathcal{S}$$

where a^{ij}_∞ denotes a matrix with constant coefficients while a^{ij}, a^{ij}, a are matrix valued functions of the coordinates such that

$$a^{ij}\in H^\infty_{-1/2}(\mathcal{S}), \quad a^j\in H^\infty_{-3/2}(\mathcal{S}), \quad a\in H^\infty_{-5/2}(\mathcal{S}).$$

On $\partial\mathcal{S}$ we will consider the homogeneous Dirichlet operator $\mathbf{B}$ given by

$$\mathbf{B}u(y) = u(y), \quad y\in\partial\mathcal{S}.$$

The combined operator $(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{B})$ is said to be *L-elliptic* if $\mathbf{L}$ is elliptic on $\mathcal{S}$ and $(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{B})$ satisfies the Lopatinski-Shapiro compatibility conditions —see [20] for detailed definitions. Crucial for our purposes is that if $\mathbf{L}$ is elliptic and $\mathbf{B}$ is the Dirichlet boundary operator, then the Lopatinski-Shapiro conditions are satisfied and thus $(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{B})$ is L-elliptic —see again [20], Theorem 10.7.

The Fredholm properties for the combined operator $(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{B})$ follow from Theorem 6.3 in [12] —cfr. similar results in [11, 17]. Bartnik's conventions are used for the weights of the Sobolev spaces H^s_δ —see [3].

Theorem 3. *Let* $\mathbf{L}$ *denote a smooth second order asymptotically homogeneous operator on* $\mathcal{S}\approx\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\mathcal{B}_1$*. Furthermore, let* $\partial\mathcal{S}$ *be smooth and let* $\mathbf{B}$ *denote the Dirichlet boundary operator. Then for* $\delta<0$*,* $s\geq 2$ *the map*

$$(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{B}) : H^s_\delta(\mathcal{S}) \to H^{s-2}_{\delta-2}(\mathcal{S})\times H^{s-1/2}(\partial\mathcal{S})$$

is Fredholm.

The same arguments used in Theorem 6.3 in [4] then allow to prove the following version of the Fredholm alternative:

Proposition 3. *Let* $(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{B})$ *as in theorem 3. Given* $\delta<0$*, the boundary value problem*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{L}u(x)=f(x), &\quad f\in H^0_{\delta-2}(\mathcal{S}) \quad x\in\mathcal{S},\\ u(y)=g(y), &\quad g\in H^0(\partial\mathcal{S}), \quad y\in\partial\mathcal{S}\end{aligned}$$

has a solution $u\in H^2_\delta(\mathcal{S})$ *if*

$$\int_{\bar{\mathcal{S}}} f\cdot v\,\mathrm{d}\mu=0,$$

for all $v\in H^0_{-1-\delta}(\mathcal{S})$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{L}^*v(x)=0, &\quad x\in\mathcal{S},\\ v(y)=0, &\quad y\in\partial\mathcal{S},\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{L}^*$ *denotes the formal adjoint of* $\mathbf{L}$*.*

Finally, we note the following lemma —cfr. equation (1.13) in [12].

Lemma 3. *Let* $(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{B})$ *as in theorem 3. Then for any* $\delta\in\mathbb{R}$ *and any* $s\geq 2$*, there exists a constant* C *such that for every* $u\in H^s_{loc}\cap H^0_\delta(\mathcal{S})$*, the following inequality holds*

$$||u||_{H^s_\delta(\mathcal{S})}\leq C\left(||\mathbf{L}u||_{H^{s-2}_{\delta-2}(\mathcal{S})}+||\mathbf{B}u||_{H^{s-1/2}(\partial\mathcal{S})}+||u||_{H^{s-2}_\delta(\mathcal{S})}\right).$$

In this lemma, H^s_{loc} denotes the local Sobolev space. That is, $u\in H^s_{loc}$ if for an arbitrary smooth function v with compact support, $uv\in H^s$.

Remark. If $\mathbf{L}$ has smooth coefficients and $\mathbf{L}u=0$, then it follows that all the $H^s_\delta(\mathcal{S})$ norms of u are bounded by the $H^0_\delta(\mathcal{S})$ and the $H^{s-1/2}(\partial\mathcal{S})$ norms. Thus, it follows that if a solution to the boundary value problem exists and the boundary data is smooth, then the solution must be, in fact, smooth —elliptic regularity.

B An improved characterisation of the Kerr spacetime by means Killing spinors

In [2] a characterisation of the Kerr spacetime by means of Killing spinors was given. This characterisation contains an *a priori* assumption on the Weyl tensor —namely, that it is nowhere of type N or D. The purpose of the present appendix is to show that these assumptions can be removed.

As in the main text, let κ_{AB} denote a totally symmetric spinor. Let

$$\xi_{AA'}\equiv\nabla^B{}_{A'}\kappa_{AB}.$$

If κ_{AB} is a solution to the Killing spinor equation (1), then $\xi_{AA'}$ satisfies the Killing equation

$$\nabla_{AA'}\xi_{BB'}+\nabla_{BB'}\xi_{AA'}=0.$$

In general, $\xi_{AA'}$ will be a complex Killing vector. The Killing form $F_{AA'BB'}$ associated to $\xi_{AA'}$ is defined by

$$F_{AA'BB'}\equiv\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{AA'}\xi_{BB'}-\nabla_{BB'}\xi_{AA'}\right).$$

In the cases where $\xi_{AA'}$ is real, we will consider the self-dual Killing form $\mathcal{F}_{AA'BB'}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_{AA'BB'}\equiv\tfrac{1}{2}(F_{AA'BB'}+\mathrm{i}F^*_{AA'BB'}),$$

where $F^*_{AA'BB'}$ is the Hodge dual of $F_{AA'BB'}$. Due to the symmetries of of the self-dual Killing form one has that

$$\mathcal{F}_{AA'BB'}=\mathcal{F}_{AB}\epsilon_{A'B'},\quad \mathcal{F}_{AB}\equiv\tfrac{1}{2}F_{AQ'B}{}^{Q'}=\mathcal{F}_{BA}.$$

The characterisation of the Kerr spacetime discussed in [2] is, in turn, based on the following characterisation proven by Mars [14].

Theorem 4 (Mars 1999, 2000)**.** *Let* $(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu\nu})$ *be a smooth vacuum spacetime with the following properties:*

(i) $(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu\nu})$ *admits a real Killing vector* $\xi_{AA'}$ *such that the spinorial counterpart of the Killing form of* $\xi_{AA'}$ *satisfies*

$$\Psi_{ABCD}\mathcal{F}^{PQ} = \varphi\mathcal{F}_{AB}, \tag{26}$$

with φ *a scalar;*

(ii) $(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu\nu})$ *contains a stationary asymptotically flat 4-end, and* $\xi_{AA'}$ *tends to a time translation at infinity and the Komar mass of the asymptotic end is non-zero.*

Then $(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu\nu})$ *is locally isometric to the Kerr spacetime.*

Remark. A stationary asymptotically flat 4-end is an open submanifold $\mathcal{M}_\infty \subset \mathcal{M}$ diffeomorphic to to $I \times (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{B}_R)$, where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval and $\mathcal{B}_R$ is a closed ball of radius R such that in local coordinates (t, x^i) defined by the diffeomorphism the metric satisfies

$$|g_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}| + |r\partial_i g_{\mu\nu}| \leq Cr^{-\alpha}, \quad \partial_t g_{\mu\nu} = 0,$$

with C, $\alpha \geq 1$ constants, $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ the Minkowski metric and

$$r = \sqrt{(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2}.$$

In this context the notions of Komar and ADM mass coincide.

We want to relate the notion of Killing form and that of Killing spinors. As discussed in [2], if $\xi_{AA'}$ is real, the commutators for a vacuum spacetime readily yield that

$$\mathcal{F}_{AB} = \tfrac{3}{4}\Psi_{ABPQ}\kappa^{PQ}. \tag{27}$$

Now, vacuum spacetimes admitting a Killing spinor, κ_{AB}, can only be of Petrov type D, N or O. If the spacetime is of type O at some point (so that $\Psi_{ABCD} = 0$), then (27) shows that $\mathcal{F}_{AB} = 0$, and the relation (26) is satisfied trivially. If the spacetime is of Petrov type N, then κ_{AB} has a repeated principal spinor which coincides with the repeated principal spinor of Ψ_{ABCD} —see e.g. [9]. Hence, again one has that $\mathcal{F}_{AB} = 0$, and (26) is satisfied trivially. For Petrov type D spacetimes with a Killing spinor such that $\xi_{AA'}$ is real, it has already been shown in [2] that (26) is satisfied.

From the discussion in the previous paragraph, we obtain the following characterisation of the Kerr spacetime in terms of Killing spinors.

Theorem 5. *A smooth vacuum spacetime* $(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu\nu})$ *is locally isometric to the Kerr spacetime if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) there exists a Killing spinor κ_{AB} *such that the associated Killing vector* $\xi_{AA'}$ *is real;*

(ii) the spacetime $(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu\nu})$ *has a stationary asymptotically flat 4-end with non-vanishing mass in which* $\xi_{AA'}$ *tends to a time translation.*

As a consequence of this theorem, the *a priori* conditions on the Petrov type of the Weyl required in Theorem 28 of [2] can be dropped.

References

[1] T. Bäckdahl & J. A. Valiente Kroon, *Geometric invariant measuring the deviation from Kerr data*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 231102 (2010).

[2] T. Bäckdahl & J. A. Valiente Kroon, *On the construction of a geometric invariant measuring the deviation from Kerr data*, In `arXiv:1005.0743[gr-qc]`, 2010.

[3] R. Bartnik, *The mass of an asymptotically flat manifold*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. , 661 (1986).

[4] M. Cantor, *Elliptic operators and the decomposition of tensor fields*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **5**, 235 (1981).

[5] A. García-Parrado & J. A. Valiente Kroon, *Kerr spinor initial data sets*, J. Geom. Phys. **58**, 1186 (2008).

[6] R. Geroch, *Spinor structure of spacetimes in general relativity I*, J. Math. Phys. **9**, 1739 (1968).

[7] R. Geroch, *Spinor structure of spacetimes in general relativity II*, J. Math. Phys. **11**, 343 (1970).

[8] A. D. Ionescu & S. Klainerman, *On the uniqueness of smooth, stationary black holes in vacuum*, Inventiones mathematicae **175**, 35 (2009).

[9] B. P. Jeffryes, *Space-times with two-index Killing spinors*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **392**, 323 (1984).

[10] W. Kinnersley, *Type D vacuum metrics*, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 1195 (1969).

[11] J. Klenk, *Existence of stationary vacuum solutions of Einstein's equations in an exterior domain*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B **41**, 231 (1991).

[12] R. B. Lockhardt & R. C. McOwen, *Elliptic differential operators on noncompact manifolds*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa **12**, 409 (1985).

[13] R. B. Lockhart, *Fredholm properties of a class of elliptic operators on non-compact manifolds*, Duke Math. Journal **48**, 289 (1981).

[14] M. Mars, *Uniqueness properties of the Kerr metric*, Class. Quantum Grav. **17**, 3353 (2000).

[15] R. Penrose & W. Rindler, *Spinors and space-time. Volume 1. Two-spinor calculus and relativistic fields*, Cambridge University Press, 1984.

[16] R. Penrose & W. Rindler, *Spinors and space-time. Volume 2. Spinor and twistor methods in space-time geometry*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.

[17] O. Reula, *On existence and behaviour of asymptotically flat solutions to the stationary Einstein equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **122**, 615 (1989).

[18] P. Sommers, *Space spinors*, J. Math. Phys. **21**, 2567 (1980).

[19] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. A. H. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers, & E. Herlt, *Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations*, Cambridge University Press, 2003, Second edition.

[20] J. T. Wloka, B. Rowley & B. Lawruk, *Boundary value problems for elliptic systems*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.